# Equity profile Senegal

This analysis is based on a methodology developed from 2010 and 2020[[1]](#footnote-1) [[2]](#footnote-2) [[3]](#footnote-3).It uses international data sources to identify global wellbeing references, identifies the levels replicable to all and estimates the deficit from those by country, time-period, sex and age group.

This new way of looking at a country’s performance on ecology, economy and wellbeing within the feasible and sustainable parameters, can stimulate further subnational analysis and more precise and useful elements to drive local, national and international policies towards equity.

**Methodology**

The only global health objective agreed by all countries is the constitution of the World Health Organization, which aims at the “*best feasible level of health for all*”. With international data - from 1960-2020- we identified such “best feasible level of health” and selected countries with good health (life expectancy above world average) with “globally feasible” economic (GDP and wealth pcy < world average) and ecologic conditions (bio capacity < world average and ecological and carbon footprint < sustainable threshold) sustainable in time, hence safeguarding intergenerational equity.

Using those *healthy, replicable and sustainable* (HRS) models[[4]](#footnote-4), we adjusted mortality rates by age and sex published by the UN Population Division every five years. We call the excess mortality above that from the HRS models, the *burden of health inequity*. The analysis also allows setting the “*dignity threshold*” (below which no country has achieved that best feasible health) and the “*upper threshold*” (above which wellbeing does not improve). Those thresholds frame the *equity curve* between both and the level of *redistribution required* for those under the dignity threshold (in need of net support) or from those above the upper threshold (ethically responsible for net contribution).

Taking into account the negative impact on third countries by excess income pc or excess carbon emissions pc, we estimated the *Sustainable and Equitable Wellbeing (SEW) Index[[5]](#footnote-5).* The methodology we hereby propose challenges XXth century concepts such as high income-development models, constant GDP growth, poverty, ODA and the human development index. The hereby suggested “*equity lenses*” provide a useful tool to identify *alternative wellbeing models*, subnational analysis and policies towards territorial and fiscal equity and individual and collective conscious responsibility based on the ethical principle of equity.

Figure 1 Global equity curve between dignity and excess thresholds allowing best feasible level of health for all



Our analysis reveals that the best levels of wellbeing (through proxy life expectancy) can be achieved within the equity curve, which accommodates all countries, and within them, all peoples above the dignity threshold and below the upper threshold. In 2020 the equity scope was from 4,000-18,000 GDP pc CV, below which no country could achieve best feasible levels of health (right to health) and above which wellbeing did not improve any further while no country was ecologically sustainable and the excess income prevented others from the right to health.

## Comparison with neighbour countries and other with similar natural and economic means

The first attempt to assess a situation is to compare with others in similar situations and identify the potential to improve. The following table compare the ecological, economic and wellbeing indicators (including the burden of health inequity) with the closes countries (geographically and with historical and cultural links) to Senegal:

Table 1 Comparative analysis with neighbouring countries



The above table shows how Senegal has a bio capacity between the two neighbour countries, Gambia and Mauritania, and economic power (estimated though GDP CV) lower than both. It uses natural resources (measured by the ecological footprint) at a rate between the two. The level of life expectancy at birth is above its neighbour countries neighbour countries.

Table 2 Comparative analysis with countries of similar natural and economic means



The countries with closest levels of GDP CV pcy (proxy of average income, subject to subnational inequities) and bio capacity pcy, are Kyrgyzstan and Cambodia. Senegal has a life expectancy at birth lower than both mentioned countries.

Table 3 Comparative analysis with the international average and the HRS reference indicators



The table above shows the relation of the ecologic, economic and health main indicators of Senegal with the international average and with the Healthy-Replicable-Sustainable standards.

It reveals that the bio capacity of Senegal is 60% of the world average, hence being replicable at global level. The ecological footprint of Senegal is 41% of the international average and 70% of the recycling threshold, hence ecologically sustainable. As regards the balance with its own natural resources, the ecological footprint of Senegal is 117% of its average bio capacity pcy, therefore it is non-sustainable at national level. The level of CO2 emissions pcy is 13% of the international level and 33% of the ethical threshold, therefore preventing global warming.

As regards the economic indicators, Senegals GDP CV pc is 13% of the international average (hence economically replicable) and 35% of the HRS reference. Its cumulative wealth pcy is 4% of the international average and 15% of the HRS reference.

In terms of health, the life expectancy in Senegal is 5.13 years below the international average (5.37 in women and 4.88 below in men) and 9.19 years below the HRS level (10.66 below in women and 7.72 below in men) with a proportional sex difference of 5.76%, higher than the world’s average.

## HRS indicators 1961-2020

### Ecologic indicators:

The following graphs represent the annual average levels of the nature’s recycling capacity in hectares pcy (bio cap), carbon emissions and ecological footprint in Senegal. These indicators are compared with the international average and the recycling threshold above which the level is not replicable (bio capacity pcy) or not sustainable (ecological and carbon footprints), leading to nature’s depletion and (in the case of CO2 emissions) global warming.

Figure 2 Bio capacity pcy vs. world average 1961-2020



Figure 3 Ecological footprint pcy vs world average and recycling threshold 1961-2020



Figure 4 CO2 emissions pcy vs world average and ethical threshold 1960-2020



As the graphs above show, Senegal has a bio capacity pcy replicable at global level, regarding its ecological footprint it is ecologically sustainable at global level and its present level of CO2 emissions is preventing global warming above 1.5 degrees during this century. The use of natural resources is however non-sustainable at national level.

### Economic indicators:

The graphs below the annual average levels of economic flows measured by GDP constant value (CV)(no data for Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) pcy)

Figure GDP CV pcy vs international average, dignity and excess thresholds 1961-2020



The above figure shows the trend of the GDP CV pc in Senegal in relation with the levels of the international average, the HRS reference (below which no country in 60 years has achieved the feasible best level of health for all –hence named “dignity threshold”-) and the upper limit (symmetrical level above which wellbeing does not increase further while it hampers others’ reach of the dignity level and is not compatible with respecting planetary boundaries –hence named “excess threshold”-). The overall GDP of Senegal is $21163970578, *0.0259%* of the world’s GDP (while being *0.2077%* of the world’s population), which translates in GDP pc $1384pcy, as mentioned above, 13% of the international average and 35% of the HRS reference.

Figure 6 GDP PPP pcy vs equity thresholds 1986-2020



The graphs above show that the level of GDP CV and PPP pcy during the study period (1961-2020 for CV and 2000-2020 for PPP) in Senegal is replicable globally considering the level of global economic resources.

Figure 7 ODA flow pcy (provided/received) 1961-2020



Figure Required ethical redistribution 1961-2020



Figure ODA as percentage of required ethical redistribution



The figure above shows the levels of ODA pcy. In relation with reception from required international redistribution of $ 2528 pcy to enable global economic and health equity, Senegal received an annual average during 2016-2020 of $ 27.41 pcy ,1.08% of the level required.

### Health indicators:

The graphs below represent the level of life expectancy at birth evolving over time from 1961 until 2020, and comparing the levels of Senegal with those of the international average and the HRS reference.

Figure 10 Life expectancy by sex and time periods vs. international average and HRS reference, 1961-2020



The graph above shows the relation of life expectancy in Senegal, between 1961-2020; with the international average and the HRS reference. Such gap is today 5.37 below the international average in women and 4.88 below in men, and 10.66 years below in women and 7.72 below in men than the HRS reference.

Figure 11 Healthy life expectancy vs international average and HRS standard, 1996-2020



The estimates of the World Health Organization, of the healthy life expectancy (HALE), accounting for disability as well, reflect that the trend of HALE in Senegal, in relation with the international and HRS average. At present, the estimated level of healthy life expectancy in Senegal is 93% of the international average and 89% of the HRS level.

Figure 12 Life expectancy gap by sex, vs international average 1961-2020



Figure 13 LE % lower in men than in women, vs international average 1961-2020



What the graphs above show is the trend in the difference between life expectancy between men and women in Senegal. It stands today at 3.99 years lower in men, which is higher than the world % difference (at present some 6%).

## Burden of health inequity

### Burden vs. HRS reference:

As mentioned in the methodology, we selected the country (Sri Lanka) which has maintained the ecological sustainability, economic replicability and the health above average as the reference to compare mortality rates by sex, age group and time period and estimated, through adjust mortality rates the excess mortality from those feasible standards.

Figure 14 nBHiE ref HRS by sex and time period 1961-2020



The above graph represents the excess mortality in Senegal, (with 35% GDP CV pc of the HRS reference), that is, the net burden of health inequity (nBHiE). It is today of 27494 annual excess deaths in women and 23985 in men, total of 51121 annual deaths above the globally feasible HRS standards.

Figure nBHiE by sex and age group 2016-2020



The figure above shows how the excess mortality in reference to HRS levels is higher in under-fives, more in boys than in girls, and throughout all adult age groups.

Figure 16 rBHiE by sex and time period vs international average, 1961-2020



The share of all deaths that was in excess in Senegal when compared with the feasible mortality rates in the HRS reference, allows comparison in time and with other countries and the international reference as it is not influenced by the size and/or shape of the demographic pyramid. It evolved from 1961 and it is today of 63.33% in women and 50.48% in men.

Figure rBHiE by sex and age group 2016-2020



The figure above shows how the excess mortality in the last 2016-2020 period is over 80% in under 5s, of 60-70% in women of 20 to 45 year old women, of 50-60% in 45 to 85 years and lower in older age groups and in men.

### Burden vs. best SEW reference:

While the minimum aspiration of feasible health for all is the HRS reference, which uses 40% of the world’s average resources per person, the comparison with the best level of sustainable and equitable wellbeing (see below), Costa Rica, challenges to higher levels of wellbeing within the equity curve and void of negative impact from excess income or CO2 emissions.

Figure 18 nBHiE ref best SEW, by sex and time period 1961-2020



The above figure reveals how the comparison of mortality rates by sex, age group and time period between Senegal and the best SEW reference (with 11.63% of its GDP CV pc). The trend reflects socioeconomic and ecologic conditions over the last 60 years in Senegal and in the best SEW country (Costa Rica). It decreased from year 2000 and stands today at 30090 in women and 29579 in men, totalling 59067 excess deaths (*0.2632%* of the world’s total burden ref. best SEW vs. being *0.2077%* of the population).

Figure 19 nBHiE vs best SEW reference by age and sex, 2016-2020



The above figure represents the age distribution of the excess mortality in reference to the best SEW reference. It reflects excess mortality children under 5, again more in boys than in girls, and in adult age groups, higher in 75-90 year old.

Figure 20 rBHiE by sex and time period vs international average, 1961-2020



The figure above shows the share of excess mortality ref. best SEW in relation to the total number of deaths, that is, the rBHiE. It decreased from 1991 until today’s level of 64.96% (164% of the world’s level-close to 40%-), 69.31% in women and 62.26% in men.

Figure 21rBHiE ref best SEW by sex and age group vs international average, 1916-2020



The above figure represents the age distribution of the share of excess mortality in reference to the best SEW reference and reveals share of excess deaths in under 15s (70-80%), in women older than 10 years old (60-80%) and in men older than 20 years (40-60%).

## Sustainable and Equitable Wellbeing (SEW) index

Figure LYL on others by excess emissions and excess income, 1961-2020

Figure Sustainable and equitable wellbeing index, 1961-2020



This last figure of our analysis of the equity profile in Senegal reveals the sustainable and equitable index, that is, the average life expectancy at birth after deducting the damage on other countries through excess income (in the present generations) and through excess CO2 emissions (in the coming generations). We estimated one week life lost per annual GDP pc 1000$ above the excess threshold and two life days lost per annual excess CO2 mTon above the ethical threshold[[6]](#footnote-6) [[7]](#footnote-7). With 0.00 impact through excess carbon emissions and 0.00 by excess income, it stands today at 67.32 life years, and ranks 108 in the world, 60 positions above the Human development Index (which does not limit CO2 emissions or excess GDP pc income).

In summary, the equity profile of Senegal, reveals that with 60% of the world average bio capacity pcy, its ecological footprint is 70% of the global recycling threshold (sustainable) and however 117% of its national recycling capacity (non-sustainable). The level of CO2 emissions pcy is 33% of the ethical threshold, therefore preventing global warming. Senegal ’s GDP CV pc is 13% of the international average and 35% of the HRS reference. Life expectancy is 5.13 years below the international average (5.37 in women and 4.88 below in men) with a proportional sex difference of 5.76% higher in women, higher than the world’s average. The present annual excess mortality in Senegal, in relation to HRS reference (feasible for all), is of 51121 (27494 in women and 23985 in men), meaning 56.91% of all deaths (63.33% in women and 50.48% in men). When compared with the best level of sustainable and equitable wellbeing, the present annual excess mortality rises to 59067, 64.96% of all deaths. The Sustainable and Equitable Wellbeing index, that is, life expectancy at birth after deducting the damage on other countries through excess income (in the present generations) and through excess CO2 emissions (in the coming generations) stands today at 67.32 life years, and ranks 108 in the world.
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